

M4PGfowP

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

4 v.

19 Cr. 254 (ALC)

5 REGINALD FOWLER,

6 Plea

7 Defendant.

8 -----x

9 New York, N.Y.  
10 April 25, 2022  
11 2:00 p.m.

12 Before:

13 HON. ANDREW L. CARTER, JR.,

14 District Judge

15 APPEARANCES

16 DAMIAN WILLIAMS

17 United States Attorney for the  
18 Southern District of New York

19 SEBASTIAN SWETT

20 SAMUEL ROTHSCHILD

21 Assistant United States Attorney

22 EDWARD SAPONE

23 Attorney for Defendant  
24  
25

M4PGfowP

(The Court and all parties present remotely)

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Change of plea hearing in case number 19 Cr. 254,, United States v. Reginald Fowler.

Counsel, please state your appearances for the government.

MR. SWETT: Good afternoon, your Honor. This is Sebastian Swett on behalf out United States. I have in the room, special agent Todd McGee from the FBI and joining the call are Assistant United States Attorneys Samuel Raymond and Samuel Rothschild.

MR. SAPONE: Good afternoon, your Honor. Please accept my apologies for the technical difficulties. My name is Edward Sapone, I am alone, and I represent Reginald Fowler, who now has joined us by video.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Mr. Fowler, please state your first and last name for the record, sir.

THE DEFENDANT: Reginald Fowler. I apologize for being late. Thank you.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you.

THE COURT: Good afternoon. We're here today because Mr. Fowler would like to withdraw his previously entered plea of not guilty and enter a plea of guilty to all five counts of the indictment. Before we proceed, let me just make sure that Mr. Fowler understands we're proceeding by videoconference due to the COVID-19 pandemic and also due to the fact that there is

M4PGfowP

1 a trial date approaching quickly.

2 Do you waive your appearance in an actual courtroom  
3 for purposes of this call?

4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, your Honor. I do.

5 THE COURT: Anything else from defense counsel on  
6 that?

7 MR. SAPONE: No. Thank you, your Honor.

8 THE COURT: Anything else from the government on that?

9 MR. SWETT: No, your Honor.

10 THE COURT: Mr. Fowler, I'm going to ask you some  
11 questions and your answers will be under oath. I'm going to  
12 ask my talented deputy to place you under oath.

13 (Defendant sworn)

14 THE COURT: Mr. Fowler, now that you have taken an  
15 oath to tell the truth, I want to make sure you understand, if  
16 you with to intentionally lie in response to any of my  
17 questions, you could face criminal prosecution for making false  
18 statements under oath.

19 Do you understand?

20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, your Honor.

21 THE COURT: How old are you?

22 THE DEFENDANT: I'm 63, your Honor.

23 THE COURT: How far did you go in school?

24 THE DEFENDANT: I've got a master's degree in  
25 business, sir.

M4PGfowP

1 THE COURT: Have you recently been under the care of a  
2 physician or a psychiatrist for any reason?

3 THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

4 THE COURT: In the last 24 hours, have you had any  
5 medication, pills, drugs or alcoholic benches?

6 THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor.

7 THE COURT: Is your mind clear as you sit here today?

8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

9 THE COURT: Defense counsel, have you discussed the  
10 matter of pleading guilty with your client?

11 MR. SAPONE: Yes, extensively, your Honor.

12 THE COURT: Do you feel that he understands the nature  
13 of the rights that he would be waiving by pleading guilty?

14 MR. SAPONE: Very much so, your Honor. Yes.

15 THE COURT: Do you have any doubts about his  
16 competence to proceed?

17 MR. SAPONE: No, your Honor.

18 THE COURT: I will note for the record that Mr. Fowler  
19 appears alert, he has answered the questions appropriately. I  
20 find that he is competent to proceed. We will continue.

21 Mr. Fowler, you have a constitutional right to plead  
22 not guilty to the five counts of the indictment.

23 Do you understand?

24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

25 THE COURT: If you persist in that plea or not guilty,

M4PGfowP

1 you have a right to a speedy and public trial by jury.

2 Do you understand?

3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

4 THE COURT: At that trial and at every stage of this  
5 criminal litigation, you have a right to be represented by an  
6 attorney.

7 Do you understand?

8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

9 THE COURT: If you could not afford to hire your own  
10 attorney, the Court would give you your own attorney for free.

11 Do you understand?

12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

13 THE COURT: Counsel, I believe that you are retained  
14 on this matter. Is that correct?

15 MR. SAPONE: Yes, your Honor is correct.

16 THE COURT: At trial, you would be presumed innocent.  
17 You would not have to prove that you are innocent.

18 Do you understand?

19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

20 THE COURT: The burden of proof would be on the  
21 government at all times and the government would be required to  
22 prove each and every element of the crimes charged beyond a  
23 reasonable doubt in order for the jury to convict you of those  
24 crimes.

25 Do you understand?

M4PGfowP

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Let's turn to those elements.

3 Count One charges you with a conspiracy to commit bank  
4 fraud.

5 Do you understand?

6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

7 THE COURT: Count Two charges you with the substantive  
8 offense of bank fraud.

9 Do you understand?

10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

11 THE COURT: So let's turn to the elements of Counts  
12 One and Two. For Count One, the government would have to prove  
13 that you knowingly and willfully conspired to commit bank  
14 fraud.

15 Do you understand?

16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

17 THE COURT: That means that you knowingly, willfully  
18 and intentionally entered into an agreement with at least one  
19 other person to commit bank fraud.

20 Do you understand?

21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

22 THE COURT: The government would have to prove that  
23 you knew the purpose of that agreement was to commit bank  
24 fraud.

25 Do you understand?

M4PGfowP

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

2 THE COURT: The elements of bank fraud that the  
3 government would have to prove include the following: That you  
4 willfully and knowingly executed or attempted to execute a  
5 scheme and artifice to defraud a financial institution.

6 Do you understand?

7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

8 THE COURT: And that financial institution was a bank,  
9 meaning that the deposits of that financial institution were  
10 then insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

11 Do you understand?

12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

13 THE COURT: And that part of this scheme was to obtain  
14 money, funds, credits, securities and other property owned by  
15 and under the custody and control of that financial  
16 institution.

17 Do you understand?

18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

19 THE COURT: And that the scheme was to obtain this  
20 money or these funds by means of false and fraudulent  
21 pretenses.

22 Do you understand?

23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

24 THE COURT: For Count One specifically, that you  
25 opened numerous US-based business bank accounts at several

M4PGfowP

1 different banks, and in opening and using these accounts, you  
2 falsely represented to those banks that the accounts would be  
3 primarily used for real estate investment transactions.

4 Do you understand?

5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, your Honor.

6 THE COURT: Even though you knew that the accounts  
7 would be used to transmit funds on behalf of an unlicensed  
8 money transmitting business related to the operation of crypto  
9 currency exchanges.

10 Do you understand?

11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, your Honor.

12 THE COURT: If you plead guilty to any of these  
13 counts, one through five, you will be giving up your right to  
14 challenge the venue of the prosecution.

15 Do you understand?

16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, your Honor.

17 THE COURT: Counsel for the government, have I left  
18 out any elements of Count One?

19 MR. SWETT: No, your Honor. I think you have covered  
20 the elements for both Count One and Count Two.

21 THE COURT: Again, Count Two is the substantive crime  
22 of bank fraud. Count One is the charge for conspiracy to  
23 commit bank fraud.

24 Do you understand?

25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.



M4PGfowP

1 THE COURT: Again, for Count Two the government would  
2 need to prove those other elements for bank fraud.

3 Do you understand?

4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, your Honor.

5 THE COURT: Now, let's turn to Counts Three and Four.

6 Count Three charges a conspiracy to operate an  
7 unlicensed money transmitting business. Count Four charges the  
8 substantive offense of the operation of an unlicensed money  
9 transmitting business.

10 Do you understand?

11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, your Honor.

12 THE COURT: For Count Three, again, the government  
13 would have to prove a conspiracy, meaning that you knowingly,  
14 intentionally and willfully entered into an agreement with at  
15 least one other person to commit the substantive offense, in  
16 this case, operation of an unlicensed money transmitting  
17 business.

18 Do you understand?

19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, your Honor.

20 THE COURT: The government would have to prove that  
21 you entered into that conspiracy or agreement understanding  
22 that the purpose and object of that agreement was to operate an  
23 unlicensed money transmitting business.

24 Do you understand?

25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, your Honor.

M4PGfowP

1 THE COURT: Let's turn to the substantive offense of  
2 operating an unlicensed money transmitting business. The  
3 government would have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that  
4 you conducted, controlled, managed, supervised or directed all  
5 or part of an unlicensed money transmitting business that  
6 affected interstate and foreign commerce.

7 Do you understand?

8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

9 THE COURT: And the government would have to prove  
10 that that money transmitting business was unlicensed, it was  
11 not registered.

12 Do you understand?

13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

14 THE COURT: For Count Three, for that conspiracy, the  
15 government would also have to prove an overt act. The  
16 government would have to prove that, in furtherance of the  
17 conspiracy, that on or about August 8th, 2018 you opened an  
18 account with a bank in Manhattan, New York for the purpose of  
19 conducting an unlicensed money transmitting business.

20 Do you understand?

21 MR. SAPONE: Your Honor, I'm so sorry to interject.  
22 Would the Court accept instead of opened an account on that  
23 date, used an account on that date for the purpose of  
24 furthering the money transmitting business. Would it be okay  
25 if he used the account?

M4PGfowP

1 THE COURT: I believe that that would be okay. The  
2 government has to prove an overt act.

3 Let me hear from government on that.

4 MR. SWETT: Your Honor, we're fine with that. I think  
5 we're going to get to a more fulsome allocution at some point  
6 during this plea. Certainly, the overt act alleged in the  
7 indictment is one of many that we believe occurred in this  
8 case. And we're fine with the representation and the request  
9 made by Mr. Sapone.

10 THE COURT: Have I left out any elements of Count  
11 Three, counsel for the government?

12 MR. SWETT: No, your Honor.

13 THE COURT: Counsel for the defense.

14 MR. SAPONE: No, your Honor.

15 THE COURT: Count Four, again, is the substantive  
16 offense of operation of an unlicensed money transmitting  
17 business. And we have already discussed those elements.

18 Do you understand that elements, Mr. Fowler?

19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, your Honor.

20 THE COURT: Count Five charges wire fraud. For Count  
21 Five, the government would have to prove that you willfully and  
22 knowingly, having devised a scheme and artifice to defraud and  
23 for obtaining money and property by means of false and  
24 fraudulent pretenses, transmitted and caused to be transmitted  
25 by means of wire, radio and television communication in

M4PGfowP

1 interstate and foreign commerce writings, signs, signals,  
2 pictures and sounds for the purpose of executing such scheme  
3 and artifice.

4 Do you understand?

5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

6 THE COURT: Specifically, that you defrauded  
7 individuals associated with a professional sports league in  
8 connection with your acquisition of an ownership stake in the  
9 league by falsely claiming personal ownership of funds that  
10 were in truth and in fact funds that you had received through  
11 the unlicensed money transmitting business charged in Count  
12 Four of this indictment and by converting those individual  
13 funds towards your investment in the league.

14 Do you understand?

15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Counsel for the government, have I left  
17 out any elements of Count Five?

18 MR. SWETT: No, your Honor.

19 THE COURT: So the government would have to prove each  
20 and every one of those elements for each of those five counts  
21 beyond a reasonable doubt in order for the jury to convict you  
22 of those crimes.

23 Do you understand?

24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

25 THE COURT: In order to attempt to prove those

M4PGfowP

1 elements, the government would call witnesses. Your attorney  
2 could question those witnesses.

3 Do you understand?

4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

5 THE COURT: Your lawyer could object to evidence that  
6 the government tried to introduce against you.

7 Do you understand?

8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

9 THE COURT: You could call your own witnesses at  
10 trial, and your attorney would have the subpoena power of the  
11 United States to make witnesses come to court for you.

12 Do you understand?

13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

14 THE COURT: You could testify on your own behalf at  
15 trial. But at the same time, you could not be forced to  
16 testify, because you have a right or privilege against  
17 self-incrimination. A right or privilege against  
18 self-incrimination means you cannot be forced to say anything  
19 out of your own mouth that makes you appear guilty.

20 Do you understand?

21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

22 THE COURT: Therefore, even if you are guilty, you  
23 don't have to plead guilty. You can remain silent and force  
24 the government to attempt to prove each and every element of  
25 those crimes to a jury beyond a reasonable doubt.

M4PGfowP

1 Do you understand?

2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

3 THE COURT: If the government could not prove each and  
4 every element of those crimes charged to a jury beyond a  
5 reasonable doubt, the jury would have an obligation to find you  
6 not guilty.

7 Do you understand?

8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Again, even if you are guilty, you are not  
10 required to plead guilty.

11 Do you understand?

12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

13 THE COURT: If you decide to plead guilty, I will ask  
14 you what you did to plead guilty. When you answer that  
15 question, you will be saying things out of your own mouth to  
16 make you appear guilty, therefore giving up your right or  
17 privilege against self-incrimination.

18 Do you understand?

19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

20 THE COURT: Let's talk about the sentencing process.  
21 If I accept your plea of guilty, you will meet with the  
22 probation department, and the probation department will prepare  
23 a probation or presentence report. That report will have  
24 information about you and the crimes that you are alleged to  
25 have committed.

M4PGfowP

1 Do you understand?

2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

3 THE COURT: That report will also have the probation  
4 department's sentencing guideline calculation. Have you and  
5 your attorney discussed the sentencing guidelines and how they  
6 might apply in your case?

7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

8 THE COURT: The sentencing guidelines are advisory.  
9 What that means is, although I'm required to determine the  
10 guideline range that applies to your case, once I make that  
11 determination, I'm not required to sentence you within that  
12 range.

13 Do you understand?

14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, your Honor.

15 THE COURT: Since I will determine the guideline range  
16 that applies to your case and I will determine your sentence,  
17 as you sit here today, there is no promise as to what your  
18 guideline range will be, nor is there a promise as to what your  
19 sentence will be.

20 Do you understand?

21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, your Honor.

22 THE COURT: Let's talk about the statutory penalties  
23 that apply. For each of these counts, there are statutory  
24 maximums relating to imprisonment. There are also statutory  
25 penalties related to supervised release. And there are

M4PGfowP

1 monetary penalties.

2 Do you understand?

3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, your Honor.

4 THE COURT: So let's start off talking about the  
5 statutory penalties for imprisonment. The statutory penalties  
6 for imprisonment and supervised release are the same for Counts  
7 One and Two; okay?

8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

9 THE COURT: So for Counts One and Two, each carries a  
10 maximum term of imprisonment of 30 years.

11 Do you understand?

12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

13 THE COURT: Each carries a maximum term of supervised  
14 release of five years.

15 Do you understand?

16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

17 THE COURT: Supervised release is like a term of  
18 probation you would serve after a term of custody. You would  
19 be subject to drug testing, visits to a probation officer's  
20 office and other limitations on your freedom.

21 Do you understand?

22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

23 THE COURT: If you were to violate a condition of  
24 supervised release, you could be sentenced to an additional  
25 term of custody and an additional term of supervised release



M4PGfowP

1 without credit for time previously served in custody or on  
2 supervised release.

3 Do you understand?

4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

5 THE COURT: Now, let's turn to Counts Three and Four.  
6 In terms of the penalties for imprisonment and supervised  
7 release, those are the same for Counts Three and Four, the same  
8 as each other.

9 For Counts Three and Four, there's a maximum term of  
10 imprisonment of five years.

11 Do you understand?

12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

13 THE COURT: There's a maximum term of supervised  
14 release of three years.

15 Do you understand?

16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

17 THE COURT: For Count Five, there's a maximum term of  
18 imprisonment of 20 years.

19 Do you understand?

20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

21 THE COURT: And a maximum term of supervised release  
22 of three years.

23 Do you understand?

24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

25 THE COURT: Now, let's turn to the financial

M4PGfowP

1 penalties. The financial penalties for Counts One and Two are  
2 the same. Here are the financial penalties for Counts One and  
3 Two: You are subject to the possibility of a fine. The  
4 maximum fine is the greatest of \$1 million or twice the gross  
5 pecuniary gain derived from the offense or twice the gross  
6 pecuniary lost to persons other than you resulting from the  
7 offense.

8 Do you understand?

9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

10 THE COURT: For Counts Three, Four and Five, those  
11 monetary penalties are the same.

12 Do you understand?

13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

14 THE COURT: For Counts Three, Four and Five, you're  
15 subject to a fine. The maximum fine is the greatest of  
16 \$250,000 or twice the gross pecuniary gain derived from the  
17 offense or twice the gross pecuniary loss to persons other than  
18 you resulting from the offense.

19 Do you understand?

20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

21 THE COURT: For all of the counts, one through five,  
22 you are subject to a mandatory special assessment which is like  
23 a fine, except it is mandatory. I must impose that special  
24 assessment whether or not you can afford to pay it. The  
25 special assessment for each of the five counts is \$100 each, so

M4PGfowP

1 a total of \$500 worth of special assessments.

2 Do you understand?

3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, I do. Yes, sir.

4 THE COURT: Counsel for government, have I left out  
5 anything regarding the statutory penalties?

6 MR. SWETT: Your Honor, there may also be restitution  
7 with respect to Counts One, Two and Five. And the government  
8 is seeking forfeiture in this case.

9 THE COURT: Thank you.

10 Mr. Fowler, there's also the possibility of  
11 restitution for Counts One, Two and Five.

12 Do you understand?

13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

14 THE COURT: There's a strong possibility of  
15 restitution.

16 Do you understand?

17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

18 THE COURT: In addition, the government will be  
19 seeking forfeiture.

20 Do you understand that?

21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

22 THE COURT: Counsel for the government, are there any  
23 other statutory penalties that I have left out?

24 MR. SWETT: No, your Honor. Thank you.

25 THE COURT: Counsel for the defense, have I left out

M4PGfowP

1 any of the statutory penalties?

2 MR. SAPONE: No, your Honor got it right. Thank you.

3 THE COURT: You have a statutory right to appeal.

4 Do you understand?

5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

6 THE COURT: I want to make sure that you understand  
7 that if you are not a United States citizen, your guilty plea  
8 and conviction make it very likely that you be deported from or  
9 removed from the United States.

10 Do you understand?

11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

12 THE COURT: Have you discussed this with your  
13 attorney?

14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

15 THE COURT: Defense counsel, have you in fact  
16 discussed that with your client?

17 MR. SAPONE: Yes, your Honor. I didn't stress it as I  
18 did other things because I know that he was born in the United  
19 States and is a US citizen. But I did tell him if he's not,  
20 then he'd be subject to deportation, which will not be an issue  
21 here. But thank you, your Honor.

22 THE COURT: Mr. Fowler, do you have any questions for  
23 me before we continue?

24 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir. I do have a question, you  
25 indicated that the maximum term is 30 years for Counts One and

M4PGfowP

1 Two, is that 30 plus 30 or is it 30 total?

2 THE COURT: There is a possibility that all of these  
3 counts could be run consecutively. So yes, it is possible 30  
4 plus 30, yes. The maximum term of imprisonment you could face  
5 is 90 years.

6 Do you understand?

7 THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I'll say something that's  
8 probably out of turn. I don't really have the right to say  
9 what I want to say because I'm guilty just by being here.

10 MR. SAPONE: Excuse me.

11 THE COURT: Hold on. You may want to speak to your  
12 lawyer. Again, Mr. Fowler, there is no promise as to what your  
13 sentence will be. I have an obligation to make sure you  
14 understand the maximum amount of imprisonment that you could  
15 face.

16 Do you understand?

17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

18 THE COURT: Defense counsel.

19 MR. SAPONE: No, it's okay, your Honor. We're okay.  
20 Thank you.

21 THE COURT: Mr. Fowler, I'll ask you again, do you  
22 have any questions for me?

23 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir, your Honor. Thank you. I  
24 apologize.

25 THE COURT: Do you have any questions you would like

M4PGfowP

1 to ask your attorney in private before we continue?

2 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir, your Honor. Thank you.

3 THE COURT: Let me also confirm, the government sent a  
4 letter to your attorney laying out the statutory penalties.  
5 Have you in fact reviewed that with your attorney, Mr. Fowler?

6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

7 THE COURT: Mr. Fowler, are you satisfied with your  
8 legal representation up to this point?

9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. Thank you, your Honor.

10 THE COURT: Defense counsel, are you aware of any  
11 legal defense to the charges or any other reason why your  
12 client should not plead guilty?

13 MR. SAPONE: No, your Honor.

14 THE COURT: Mr. Fowler, are you willing to give up  
15 your rights to a trial and all of the other rights that we have  
16 discussed?

17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Has anyone made any promises to induce you  
19 to give up those rights?

20 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir, your Honor.

21 THE COURT: Has anyone threatened you or attempted to  
22 force you to give up those rights?

23 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir, your Honor.

24 THE COURT: How do you plead to Counts One through  
25 Five of the indictment; guilty or not guilty?

M4PGfowP

1 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty, your Honor.

2 THE COURT: What is it you did that makes you guilty  
3 of those crimes?

4 MR. SAPONE: Your Honor, this is Ed Sapone, so I had  
5 worked on an allocution with Mr. Fowler and he had written down  
6 an allocution that are his words, but I helped him to write  
7 that out. Can he read from the paper, your Honor, which,  
8 again, are his words with the assistance of his counsel?

9 THE COURT: That sounds fine to me. Let me check.  
10 Counsel for the government, any objection to that?

11 MR. SWETT: No objection, your Honor.

12 THE COURT: That is fine.

13 THE DEFENDANT: (unintelligible) -- for this  
14 opportunity. This has been a long, difficult road. I'm  
15 grateful to be here today. I want to accept responsibility in  
16 the right way for what I have done; nothing more, nothing less.  
17 These are my own words written with the help of Mr. Sapone.

18 On February 2018, I set up a company called Global  
19 Trade Solutions, LLC, which was incorporated in Colorado. We  
20 had agreed to receive money on behalf of a Swiss bank company  
21 named GTS. My company would act as a money management business  
22 charging \$10 per transaction. I anticipated doing up to 2,000  
23 transactions each month, which would equal 20,000 each month in  
24 transaction fees alone.

25 Beginning in February of 2018, I opened multiple

M4PGfowP

1 business bank accounts and used multiple bank accounts in the  
2 United States, one of which was in Manhattan. During an  
3 eight-month period of time, from February to September, my  
4 company, Global Trade Solutions, transacted business with GTS,  
5 SA. My company solicited, deposited and caused the monies from  
6 GTS, SA and GTS customers.

7 Initially, my company, with the use of bank accounts I  
8 just mentioned to you, would act as a money management  
9 business. We also had a goal of subsequently investing some of  
10 the money into real estate deals. I had a lot of experience  
11 doing real estate.

12 Since 1992, I have built more than 52 buildings and  
13 more than 300,000 square feet of commercial or residential  
14 building. Some of my clients have included American Express,  
15 Disney and the University of Phoenix. At no point in time have  
16 I ever been an expert in crypto currency. In fact, to this  
17 day, I know very little about it.

18 In the relevant time period of February 2018 through  
19 September 2018, I also conducted my regular real estate  
20 development and property management business, in which I built  
21 buildings and managed properties. In the beginning, in  
22 November 2017, when I met and talked to GTS, SA, I did not know  
23 they were dealing in digital assets, also known as crypto  
24 currency. My understanding at times during the relevant time  
25 period was that all the money that went through bank accounts



M4PGfowP

1 that I opened for my company, Global Trade Solutions, to  
2 conduct business with GTS, SA fully, lawfully earned, clean  
3 money. Also in every year, I filed accurate income taxes for  
4 Global Trade Solutions and every company I own and personally.  
5 I have always paid my taxes and I have accounted for every  
6 penny in these transactions legally.

7 I will now read to you my own words with the help of  
8 Mr. Sapone, which is what I did that made me guilty of the five  
9 counts, one through five.

10 As to Count One, conspiracy to commit bank fraud,  
11 during the eight-month period of time, from February through  
12 September 2018, I, along with others, engaged in bank fraud  
13 conspiracy.

14 Here's what we agreed to do: Open business accounts  
15 with one of my companies, Global Trade Solutions, which I refer  
16 to GTS LLC, in the United States based banks. And those bank  
17 accounts had a bank account located in Manhattan, knowing that  
18 one of GTS LLC's customers would be depositing money --  
19 (unintelligible) -- crypto currency. We misled the banks by  
20 telling --

21 THE COURT: Hold on a second, Mr. Fowler, can you  
22 repeat that. You faded out for a second.

23 THE DEFENDANT: Knowing that one of GTS LLC's  
24 customers and the customers would be depositing monies into  
25 those bank accounts that would be derived from digital assets,

M4PGfowP

1 also known as crypto currencies. Yet, we misled the banks by  
2 telling them that the business I was involved in and the money  
3 that would flow through the accounts was rooted only from my  
4 real estate transactions. I accept full responsibility. I  
5 know that during this time period, 2018, that I was also  
6 conducting real estate transactions is not an excuse. It's  
7 also not an excuse when I first began negotiations with GTS I  
8 did not know that they would be causing crypto currency  
9 deposits to occur. In addition, to having engaged in an  
10 unlawful agreement, I committed an overt act of opening and  
11 using the banks. I engaged in unlawful agreement and an overt  
12 act with knowledge and I am deeply sorry. I'm really sorry,  
13 more than you know.

14 At the same time, eight months, during the -- I'm  
15 sorry, your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Go ahead, continue.

17 THE DEFENDANT: During the same month time period,  
18 February to September, during which I had engaged in bank fraud  
19 that I described, I also engaged in substantive bank fraud and  
20 business accounts and US-based banks and used them and used a  
21 bank account in a Manhattan bank and allowed and allowed and  
22 caused to be deposited funds on behalf of an unlicensed money  
23 transmitting business, a business that was not licensed in the  
24 United States to act as a money transmitting business related  
25 to the operation of digital assets, also known as crypto

M4PGfowP

1 currency exchange. I'll refer to these as crypto deposits.

2 I also represented to the banks that the accounts  
3 would be used only to conduct real estate deals. While I did  
4 do real estate deals, I knowingly and intentionally hid from  
5 the bank the fact that I was allowing and causing crypto  
6 deposits to run through the bank accounts.

7 Finally, I agree that the deposits into most of these  
8 accounts were insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance  
9 Corporation, FDIC.

10 As to Count Three, conspiracy to operate an unlicensed  
11 money transmitting business. During the same eight-month  
12 period of time, from February through September, I committed a  
13 crime against the United States by conspiring and agreeing with  
14 others to operate an unlicensed money transmitting business.

15 I will now explain the agreement. In connection with  
16 the operation of unlicensed business, I agreed with others to  
17 cause funds derived from digital assets, also known as crypto  
18 currency, to be deposited and transferred out of the bank  
19 accounts I described above. I knew that I was operating a  
20 business, a money transmitting business. I knew it was not  
21 licensed in the United States.

22 On August 8th, 2018, I used a bank account, a bank  
23 located in Manhattan for the purpose of conducting this money  
24 transmitting business. The fact that a company I operated  
25 during the relevant time period was authorized to operate a

M4PGfowP

1 money management business is not an excuse.

2 Finally, I agree that the above-described business  
3 affected interstate and foreign commerce.

4 As to the fourth count, operating an unlicensed money  
5 transmitting business, during the same eight-month period of  
6 time, I engaged in the substantive offense of operating an  
7 unlicensed money transmitting business. As part of my offense,  
8 I allowed and caused funds derived from digital assets, known  
9 as crypto currency, to be deposited into and transmitted into  
10 and out of the US-based accounts I described above. I knew  
11 that I was operated a business, a money transmitting business,  
12 and I know it was not licensed in the United States. On  
13 August 8th, 2018 used the above-mentioned account for purposes  
14 of conducting this money transmitting business. Finally, I  
15 agree that the business was affecting interstate and foreign  
16 commerce.

17 As to Count Five, wire fraud, during the eight-month  
18 period of time, from June 2018 to February 2019, I acquired an  
19 ownership interest in a professional sports league, in part by  
20 making false representations to individuals associated with the  
21 league, which I knew were false, which I made to get to let  
22 them to allow me to invest in the league. Specifically, I  
23 represented that certain funds in the Manhattan bank account  
24 were my personal funds, when in fact I obtained the funds  
25 through funds of my company's, GTS LLC, customers and its

M4PGfowP

1 customers. As explained above, when I adjudicated to Count  
2 Four of the superseding indictment, part of my communications  
3 with these people and to whom I had made these representations  
4 or -- (unintelligible)

5 THE COURT: Hold on. Mr. Fowler, you cutout there for  
6 a second. Can you go back another sentence or two, you just  
7 cutout there for a second.

8 THE DEFENDANT: My communications with these people to  
9 whom I had made representations -- (unintelligible)

10 THE COURT: Hold on. You are coming in and out.

11 MR. SAPONE: Your Honor, can I submit that we try it  
12 one more time and if it doesn't work, I would like to submit an  
13 idea to the Court, please.

14 THE COURT: Back it up, Mr. Fowler. Try it again.

15 Are you on a telephone or what are you on, Mr. Fowler,  
16 or a computer?

17 THE DEFENDANT: Phone.

18 THE COURT: Maybe take the phone off of speaker and  
19 hold it up no your ear and your mouth and try it that way.

20 You are fading in and out. We can't hear you,  
21 Mr. Fowler.

22 MR. SAPONE: Your Honor, if we could ask him, please,  
23 to try again. If it doesn't work, I would like to make a  
24 suggestion to your Honor.

25 THE COURT: Okay.

M4PGfowP

1 MR. SAPONE: Reggie, would you be kind enough to start  
2 where it says "part of my communications." Try again, Reggie.

3 THE DEFENDANT: Part of my communications with these  
4 people who I made representations -- (unintelligible)

5 THE COURT: It's still fading in and out. We still  
6 can't really hear him clearly. What is your suggestion,  
7 counsel?

8 MR. SAPONE: Your Honor, we're at the end of the  
9 allocution, could I just allocute him and he could answer yes,  
10 no or whatever he wants to answer? Could we do that for the  
11 very last part here?

12 THE COURT: Counsel for the government, do you have  
13 any objection to that?

14 MR. SWETT: Your Honor, I think that we can do that.  
15 Another alternative would be for Mr. Fowler to dial in using a  
16 telephone line, which may give a clearer signal because,  
17 obviously, having Mr. Sapone allocute him is not our  
18 preference.

19 THE COURT: I tend to agree with the government.  
20 The other thing is I just got an email that --  
21 Mr. Fowler, are you still there?

22 THE DEFENDANT: I'm still here, your Honor. Yes, sir.

23 THE COURT: Well, now we can hear you. Can you give  
24 us a testing one, two, three.

25 Now, you just faded out again.

M4PGfowP

1 THE DEFENDANT: Testing -- three.

2 THE COURT: We're not getting everything clearly.

3 We're hearing a testing and a three, but not the one and the  
4 two.

5 Can you hear me, Mr. Fowler? Mr. Fowler, can you hear  
6 me?

7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. I can hear you. Yes.

8 THE COURT: So let me just also state this. I got an  
9 email that the court reporter, Ms. Herbert, has indicated that  
10 she has a personal attorney-client relationship with  
11 Mr. Sapone. Again, she says it won't have any affect on her  
12 ability to keep the record, but wanted to disclose it in an  
13 abundance of caution.

14 Let me just confirm with Mr. Sapone that this  
15 attorney-client relationship that you have with Ms. Herbert has  
16 nothing to do with this case.

17 MR. SAPONE: Your Honor, this is Ed Sapone. It has  
18 nothing to do with this case. And I want you to know that I  
19 didn't even realize that Sadie was the court reporter today.  
20 It has nothing to do with this case. It presents no conflict,  
21 and I see no reason why we can't continue with Ms. Herbert as  
22 our court reporter.

23 THE COURT: Counsel for the government.

24 MR. SWETT: I have to admit, your Honor, this is  
25 something I have never encountered before. I am okay with the

M4PGfowP

1 representations from Mr. Sapone and from Ms. Herbert that this  
2 does not affect their work, so I don't have an objection with  
3 proceeding.

4 THE COURT: Mr. Fowler, can you hear me? Did you hear  
5 everything I said?

6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, I did.

7 THE COURT: Do you have any objection to Ms. Herbert  
8 continuing as the court reporter?

9 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

10 THE COURT: I think that's fine. And Ms. Herbert, let  
11 me just ask you to stop taking the record for a second.

12 (Pause)

13 THE COURT: Mr. Fowler, can you give us a testing one,  
14 two, three.

15 THE DEFENDANT: Testing one, two --

16 THE COURT: Almost there. I think it makes sense,  
17 Mr. Fowler, for us to ask you to call in on the audio line. Do  
18 you know how to do that, Mr. Fowler?

19 Why don't we wait for Mr. Fowler to do that.

20 (Pause)

21 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Mr. Fowler you should hang up the  
22 videoconferencing portion of the call and just proceed by  
23 phone.

24 THE DEFENDANT: I have already done that. Thank you.

25 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you.



M4PGfowP

1 Judge, I believe we're ready to continue, if you are  
2 ready.

3 THE COURT: Mr. Fowler, give us a testing one, two,  
4 three.

5 THE DEFENDANT: Testing one, two, three.

6 THE COURT: We can hear you now. Now we can't see  
7 you.

8 Let me confirm, for the record, Mr. Fowler, do you  
9 consent to proceeding through audio means only for the rest of  
10 this guilty plea?

11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

12 THE COURT: Defense counsel, do you waive your  
13 client's video appearance for the remainder of this call?

14 MR. SAPONE: Yes. And we appreciate the Court's  
15 indulgence. Thank you, your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Anything else from the government on this?

17 MR. SWETT: No. Thank you to everyone for resolving  
18 that connectivity issue.

19 THE COURT: Go ahead and continue, Mr. Fowler.

20 THE DEFENDANT: Part of my communication to these  
21 people to whom I had made these representations were by wire or  
22 email.

23 As to the forfeiture allegations of the indictment, I  
24 admit them. Mr. Sapone and I look forward to supplying the  
25 Court on a later date with our view of the appropriate

M4PGfowP

1 forfeiture amounts.

2 I accept full responsibility and I'm deeply sorry. I  
3 intend to make full amends for all of this. Judge, I  
4 appreciate your patience through this long journey and the  
5 respect that you have shown me to date.

6 Thank you.

7 THE COURT: Any further allocution requested by the  
8 government?

9 MR. SWETT: Your Honor, can I have one moment, please.

10 THE COURT: Yes.

11 MR. SWETT: Your Honor, I realize that at various  
12 points it may have been a little difficult to understand.  
13 However, it did appear sufficient to the government. I just  
14 want to make sure the court reporter felt she was able to take  
15 it all down so that the transcript will reflect the defendant's  
16 allocution.

17 THE COURT: Court reporter, did you get everything  
18 down that was said?

19 THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. Anytime I could not hear  
20 something, I interrupted and requested that it be repeated.

21 THE COURT: Anything else from the government  
22 regarding the allocution?

23 MR. SWETT: Only I would proffer a couple of things.  
24 First, with respect to those wire communications for Count  
25 Five, they traveled in interstate, so that's a proffer that the

M4PGfowP

1 government would make. And then in addition, we would proffer  
2 our ability to establish venue on all five counts.

3 THE COURT: Anything else from defense counsel on  
4 that?

5 MR. SAPONE: We appreciate the government's proffer,  
6 and we have nothing to add.

7 Thank you.

8 THE COURT: Mr. Fowler, did you hear what the  
9 government said?

10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did, your Honor. Yes, sir.

11 THE COURT: Again, regarding the court reporter, if I  
12 didn't say this, I believe that this is fine. This conflict is  
13 not a conflict that would prevent this court reporter from  
14 serving as the court reporter on this case. Mr. Sapone's  
15 representation of her has nothing to do with this case. In the  
16 role of court reporter, it is her obligation to take down  
17 everything that is said. This is not a situation in which she  
18 would be exercising judgment regarding what would be included  
19 and what would not be included. So I have no doubt that there  
20 is no conflict and that this is fine.

21 Anything else from the government on that?

22 MR. SWETT: No. Thank you, your Honor.

23 THE COURT: Anything else from defense counsel on  
24 that?

25 MR. SAPONE: No. Thank you, your Honor.

M4PGfowP

1 THE COURT: Anything else from Mr. Fowler regarding  
2 that?

3 THE DEFENDANT: No. Thank you, your Honor.

4 THE COURT: I find that Mr. Fowler understands the  
5 nature of the rights that he's waiving by pleading guilty. I  
6 further find -- well, let me ask defense counsel, is there  
7 anything else from defense counsel regarding the allocution  
8 here?

9 MR. SAPONE: No, your Honor. We carefully went  
10 through the elements of the five charges as well as the factual  
11 portion. Mr. Fowler has received my counsel. He read from the  
12 notes verbatim, and so I'm fully confident that we have hit all  
13 the points, both legally and factually in that allocution, your  
14 Honor, nothing to add.

15 MR. SWETT: Your Honor, just a couple of things I want  
16 to put on the record. Certainly, as we mentioned, we expect  
17 there will be forfeiture here. Because there's no plea  
18 agreement, there's no agreed upon forfeiture amount. The  
19 government anticipates that forfeiture would be as high as  
20 \$750 million, which represents the transaction volume for the  
21 GTS unlicensed money services business, approximately  
22 600 million of which was conducted in United States dollars.

23 And the government would just note that if this case  
24 went to trial, there would be coconspirator statements as to  
25 the nature of the unlicensed money services business. There

M4PGfowP

1 would be statements from bank representatives that at the time  
2 crypto currency was not generally allowed in major banking  
3 institutions, which created the demand for the crypto currency  
4 business with which Fowler was involved. And we would note  
5 that the misrepresentations included altering and amending wire  
6 transfer information to make it appear as though certain  
7 transactions were real estate transactions, when in fact they  
8 were related to the unlicensed money services business.

9 Really quickly, with respect to Count Five, some of  
10 those same misrepresentations as to the fact that this was real  
11 estate and not crypto were present in misrepresentations to  
12 members of the football league at issue here.

13 THE COURT: Did you hear that, defense counsel?

14 MR. SAPONE: Yes, your Honor.

15 If I just may very briefly say that the reason  
16 Mr. Fowler has elected to, as we say, pursue an open plea is  
17 most respectfully, he does not agree with the government's  
18 theory. And we look forward, prior to and at the time of  
19 sentencing, to share our view of what actually happened. So we  
20 are not going to do it today, but look forward to that  
21 opportunity in the future.

22 Thank you, your Honor.

23 THE COURT: Just to be clear, when you are indicating  
24 that you disagree with the government's theory, you're talking  
25 about in terms of the theory related to forfeiture?

M4PGfowP

1 MR. SAPONE: Yes, your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Fowler?

3 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir, your Honor.

4 THE COURT: I find that there is a factual basis for  
5 the plea and I find that Mr. Fowler understands the rights that  
6 he's waiving by pleading guilty. I accept his plea of guilty.

7 Let's set a date for sentencing.

8 Do we have a date, Tara?

9 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Tuesday, August 30th, 2022 at  
10 2:00 p.m.

11 THE COURT: I will ask that the government provide an  
12 offense statement to probation within three weeks and that  
13 defense counsel schedule the presentence interview within three  
14 weeks. The interview doesn't need to take place in three  
15 weeks, but it needs to be scheduled between now and three weeks  
16 from today.

17 Anything else from the government?

18 MR. SWETT: No. Thank you, your Honor.

19 THE COURT: Anything else from defense counsel?

20 MR. SAPONE: No. Thank you very much, your Honor.

21 THE COURT: We're adjourned.

22 (Adjourned)  
23  
24  
25